| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 09/839,779 | KASSIS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | SUSAN HANLEY | 1651 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE</u> | | |
| (1) <u>SUSAN HANLEY</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mark Russett. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 September 2008 | Time: <u>10:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 50-92 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Russett agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to bring the case into condition for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| $(A_{ m I})$ | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) |